

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
-----x
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

23-CR-99 (LJV-JJM)

vs.

SIMON GOGOLACK,
also known as Greek,
Defendant.

Buffalo, New York
March 1, 2024

-----x
DETENTION HEARING

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
BEFORE MAGISTRATE JUDGE JEREMIAH J. McCARTHY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

TRINI E. ROSS, ESQ.
United States Attorney
BY: NICHOLAS COOPER, AUSA
BY: CASEY L. CHALBECK, AUSA
BY: JOSEPH M. TRIPI, AUS
Federal Centre
138 Delaware Avenue
Buffalo, New York 14202

FOR DEFENDANT: MARIANNE MARIANO, ESQ.
Federal Public Defender
BY: JEFFREY T. BAGLEY, AFD
300 Pearl Street
Suite 200
Buffalo, New York 14202

ALSO PRESENT: ANDRE MCCRAY, U.S. PROBATION OFFICER

TRANSCRIBER: Diane S. Martens
dimartens55@gmail.com

(Proceedings recorded by electronic audio recording,
transcript produced by computer.)

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 * * *

3

4 (WHEREUPON, defendant present.)

5 **THE CLERK:** On the record in criminal proceeding
6 23-CR-99, United States of America v. Simon Gogolack for a
7 detention hearing.

8 Present in the courtroom are Assistant U.S. Attorneys
9 Casey Chalbeck, Nicholas Cooper, Joseph Tripi.

10 Defendant Mr. Gogolack with assistant federal public
11 defender Jeffrey Bagley.

12 The Honorable Jeremiah J. McCarthy presiding.

13 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Good afternoon, again,
14 everyone and apologies. We were trying to get somebody from
15 pretrial and can't find anybody but we'll just go ahead.

16 (WHEREUPON, a discussion was held off the record.)

17 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Before we begin, I think
18 this may be relevant to my consideration.

19 Mr. Bagley, Mr. Gogolack refused transport, I think, for
20 the last two occasions? One, I guess, was a miscommunication
21 but do you know why.

22 **MR. BAGLEY:** I do, Judge.

23 Yeah, so, so we actually had a video conference with
24 Mr. Gogolack. I was surprised to learn -- as the Court may
25 know, I wasn't at the Friday appearance where Mr. Gogolack

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 didn't make an appearance -- but I learned obviously soon
2 thereafter that he wasn't there.

3 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Mm-mm.

4 **MR. BAGLEY:** He didn't refuse, Judge, the long and short
5 of it. For whatever reason, the jail didn't come and get him
6 my understanding is.

7 So he's very eager to be here in court, Judge. In fact,
8 he's six hours away so it's very difficult to meet with him.

9 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Yeah, I understand.

10 **MR. BAGLEY:** And we had topics that we were going to
11 discuss at our meeting. So it's not a matter of him refusing
12 transport.

13 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Okay. Well, hopefully
14 whatever communications difficulties there are can be
15 straightened out.

16 Ready to proceed?

17 **MR. COOPER:** Government's ready, Judge.

18 **MR. BAGLEY:** Judge, yeah, I think we're ready.

19 I mean, I know that we're ready to proceed. You know, I
20 don't know how the Court wants to handle the issue of the
21 February 15th order.

22 As the Court knows from my filing, we didn't receive any
23 discovery. I don't know what the government plans to rely on
24 today. I guess maybe the Court wants to hear what they have
25 to say first but.

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Well, as I indicated in my
2 February 27th text order, I'm kind of keeping my own view
3 open right now. I did order that the government produce
4 evidence that they're going to rely on. They're saying it's
5 a proffer so it's not really evidence.

6 I think if they are going to be referring to evidence
7 and if you consider the, as I indicated, if you consider the
8 accuracy of that evidence to be in question, I may require it
9 to be produced and, if necessary, I'll continue the hearing.
10 But I'd like to just see how things unfold with the
11 government's proffer and then I'll hear from you and we'll
12 decide where we go, okay.

13 **MR. BAGLEY:** Understood, Judge.

14 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** All right.

15 **MR. COOPER:** Judge, here's what I would like to do.

16 First, I'm going to read to the Court some, some
17 correspondence. The first correspondence is from
18 August 30th, 2023. It was directed to Attorney Robert Baum,
19 who at the time was representing Mr. Gogolack.

20 It says: "Dear Attorney Baum: As Mr. Gogolack's case
21 is currently charged by criminal complaint and not yet
22 indicted, the government is not yet required by law to
23 provide Rule 16 discovery.

24 "However, in an effort to facilitate your review of the
25 government's proof and have a meaningful discussion with your

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 client about the case, the government is voluntarily
2 providing substantial discoverable material early. Enclosed
3 are documents and electronic files as described in more
4 detail below. If any of the materials appear to be missing,
5 please contact me.

6 "Voluntary early discovery.

7 Subject 1: Search warrants, Gogolack's cell phone
8 search warrant, Gogolack ping search warrant, Gogolack
9 premises search warrant, Gogolack trailer/vehicle search
10 warrant.

11 Subject 2: 296 Scott Avenue search warrant photos,
12 photos from Gogolack premises search warrant.

13 Subject 3: 296 Scott Ave. search reports, eight FBI
14 reports and documents associated with the search of 296 Scott
15 Avenue. Vehicle and trailer search warrant photos.

16 This is subject 4: Photos from Gogolack vehicle and
17 trailer search.

18 Subject 5: Vehicle and trailer search warrant reports.

19 6: FBI reports and documents associated with the FBI
20 search of the Gogolack vehicle and trailer.

21 Subject 6: 911 call, underscore Wellsville underscore
22 Gogolack, audio of recording of Gogolack 911 call. CAD
23 report from Gogolack 911 call.

24 Subject 7: ATF nexus report. ATF interstate nexus
25 report regarding one firearm and 30 rounds of ammunition

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 seized from Gogolack's residence.

2 Subject 8: Depew Police Department video file player
3 and video files associated with Gogolack's arrest by Depew
4 Police Department and his subsequent recorded interview with
5 the FBI. Depew Police Department arrest report.

6 Subject 9: Gogolack interview 302, FBI 302 report
7 summarizing and documenting the interview of Gogolack at the
8 Depew Police Department.

9 Subject 10: Gogolack phone call, 302, FBI 302 report
10 summarizing phone call with Simon Gogolack.

11 Subject 11: Wellsville Police Department unattended
12 death report. Wellsville Police Department report regarding
13 their response to the unattended death at Gogolack's
14 premises.

15 Subject 12: Gogolack phone scoped material. A partial
16 extraction of Simon Gogolack's cell phone.

17 Subject 13: Depew body camera video, two videos of the
18 body camera of the Depew arresting officer.

19 That was August 30th of 2023.

20 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE McCARTHY:** And that was --

21 **MR. COOPER:** The case was --

22 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE McCARTHY:** That --

23 **MR. COOPER:** -- charged by --

24 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE McCARTHY:** That was to Mr. Bagley.

25 **MR. COOPER:** It was to Mr. Baum and then provided to Mr.

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 Bagley when he took over.

2 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Okay.

3 **MR. COOPER:** But the letter initially was addressed to
4 Mr. Baum. They have all that.

5 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Okay.

6 **MR. COOPER:** But that's just the start.

7 September 8th, 2023. "Dear Attorney Bagley: As
8 Mr. Gogolack's case is currently charged by criminal
9 complaint and not yet indicted, the government is not yet
10 required, by law, to provide Rule 16 discovery.

11 "However, in an effort to facilitate your review of the
12 government's proof and have meaningful discussions with your
13 client about the case, the government is voluntarily
14 providing substantial discoverable material early pursuant to
15 the parties' agreements to enter into a protective order
16 provided by email today."

17 I'll skip the head -- "Enclosed are documents and
18 electronic files as described in more detail below. If any
19 of the materials appear to be missing, please contact me."

20 Voluntary early discovery. It covers the search
21 warrants, the search warrant photos and all of the other
22 material that had been previously provided to Attorney Baum.
23 That was a letter to Attorney Bagley and that was sent on
24 September 8th, 2023.

25 October 19th, 2023, an email from myself to Mr. Bagley:

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 "Good afternoon, Mr. Bagley. An encrypted flash Drive
2 is available for pick up at the United States Attorney's
3 Office, 138 Delaware Avenue, containing documents as they
4 relate to the above referenced matter. The flash drive has
5 been encrypted and the password is below. Attached are
6 instructions".... Blah blah blah.

7 And in addition in that October 19th discovery
8 production, the government provided an even more detailed
9 index than the index in the letter that I wrote in September.

10 In addition to all the materials that had previously
11 been provided, this included Bates numbered discovery from --
12 starting at number 1, ending at number 1,865. Non-Bates
13 numbered discovery included sorted phone conversations from
14 the defendant's cell phone that were labeled by name and in
15 individual folders. And then an entire copy of the
16 defendant's Cellebrite extraction because previously there
17 had only been a partial copy. It's my belief, as I sit here
18 today, that everything, or nearly everything, that was
19 referenced in the prior proffer has been turned over, with
20 the exception of, I can imagine possibly new reports that
21 came in after the fact related to labs. And I believe that
22 even the labs Mr. Bagley references, he references because he
23 has them.

24 And, so, my expectation today is to proceed by proffer
25 with the facts that are contained in the incredibly detailed

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 second superseding indictment, discuss the charges, the
2 presumptions, discuss this Court's prior ruling that the
3 defendant didn't even overcome the presumption and
4 essentially leave this in the Court's hands.

5 I don't know that I need exhibits to explain to the
6 Court that the defendant is both an obvious danger to the
7 community and an obvious flight risk.

8 Here's what probation had to say in January:

9 "Assessment of nonappearance: Prior bench warrant,
10 extensive substance abuse, conflicting information regarding
11 residence, mental health concerns, prior arrests for false
12 impersonation.

13 Nature and circumstances of the instant offense --
14 assessment of danger: Prior violation of probation, prior
15 arrest while under supervision, prior arrests and convictions
16 for similar offenses. Prior felony conviction. Prior
17 violent felony arrest. Extensive substance abuse. Mental
18 health concerns.

19 Nature and circumstances of the instant offense. Here
20 was their recommendation:

21 "It's the same as their recommendation was back in
22 September. Based on the nature and circumstances of the
23 instant offense and the information outlined herein, the
24 pretrial services office finds there is no condition or
25 combination of conditions to reasonably assure the

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 defendant's appearance in court or the safety of the
2 community. Therefore, it is respectfully recommended that
3 the defendant be detained pending the outcome of court
4 proceedings."

5 Here's where we stand. The defendant's charged in
6 Counts 1 through 4 and Counts 6 through --

7 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Well, well --

8 **MR. COOPER:** -- through 18.

9 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Well, let me just jump in
10 for a minute. When I granted the motion to reopen the
11 hearing, it was for the reason that Mr. Bagley said he didn't
12 have -- that you were relying on information that he had not
13 been given. You've just recited a voluminous amount of
14 information that he has been given. I want to zero in on
15 what he hasn't been given and whether he's entitled to have
16 it.

17 Otherwise -- you know, normally I don't reconsider a
18 detention decision. I said I might in this case if there's
19 evidence that wasn't provided to him at the last time and
20 that's, that's the type of evidence that I would want to
21 focus on.

22 Mr. Bagley, let me just hear from you briefly before we
23 proceed with a proffer.

24 **MR. BAGLEY:** Yes, Judge.

25 The motion to reopen highlighted a couple aspects that I

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 thought needed --

2 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Yeah, the blood reports or
3 the lack of blood --

4 **MR. BAGLEY:** Right, right.

5 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** -- evidence, yeah.

6 **MR. BAGLEY:** Right. So that was something that the
7 government did not have at the time that we initially had our
8 detention hearing. They did have it subsequently at other
9 folks' detention hearing and didn't turn it over but they
10 didn't have it at the time that Mr. Gogolack had his hearing.

11 We did obviously receive that at some point after his
12 hearing and now we're able to review it and point out some of
13 the issues that I think the Court would want to be aware of.
14 And, so, that is an example of the reason that, you know, the
15 Court often requires the government to disclose things that
16 they're relying on and I don't, again, as I said at the
17 beginning of this, I don't know what the government intends
18 to rely on today.

19 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Well, I mean, that, you have
20 now.

21 **MR. BAGLEY:** That I have now, correct.

22 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** I mean, one thing that
23 interests me is in your submission of recent -- it's docket
24 number 78, your memorandum in opposition to reopening the
25 hearing.

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 At Page 3, you cite an excerpt from Mr. Gogolack's
2 Facebook page.

3 Frankly, I didn't each realize you could access Facebook
4 from jail. Is that what you're saying?

5 **MS. CHALBECK:** Your Honor, that's -- that is an excerpt
6 from Mr. Gogolack's Facebook page and I believe that excerpt
7 is from January -- on or about January 5th. I don't know how
8 Mr. Gogolack made that post but that -- my understanding, in
9 discussing this with the FBI is that that is Mr. Gogolack's
10 Facebook page.

11 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE McCARTHY:** Okay.

12 **MS. CHALBECK:** And I think he --

13 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE McCARTHY:** Go ahead.

14 **MS. CHALBECK:** I'm sorry, your Honor.

15 I believe that the document that you're referring to is
16 not the government's response in opposition to Mr. Gogolack's
17 motion to reopen the detention hearing, which is docket
18 number --

19 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE McCARTHY:** No, no, no.

20 **MS. CHALBECK:** 56 --

21 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE McCARTHY:** No.

22 **MS. CHALBECK:** -- but the response.

23 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE McCARTHY:** By then I had already
24 reopened -- no, I meant it's your response to Gogolack's
25 affirmation so you're arguing in docket number 78 you're

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 arguing that you can proceed by proffer.

2 **MS. CHALBECK:** That's correct, your Honor.

3 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Right.

4 **MS. CHALBECK:** And the reason why I included that
5 excerpt in response to Mr. Bagley's affirmation was to
6 provide some context as to why the government wished to
7 proceed by proffer and that turns on a concern that
8 Mr. Gogolack essentially openly through his public Facebook
9 posts, and privately, has expressed a desire and an intention
10 to weaponize the discovery process.

11 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** All right. Well, yeah, I
12 just say, regardless of the context in which that came up,
13 that -- I was surprised that somebody could, you know, I
14 guess I'll hear from Mr. Bagley.

15 I was surprised that somebody could have a Facebook post
16 from, from an incarceration facility. I mean --

17 **MR. BAGLEY:** Well --

18 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** -- you learn something new
19 every day.

20 **MR. BAGLEY:** Judge, yeah, I mean, that's part of the
21 issue here, right. I mean, the government makes reference to
22 a number of things like this. We don't have access to it.
23 We don't -- we have --

24 **MR. COOPER:** It's Facebook. You definitely have access.
25 It's public.

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 **MR. BAGLEY:** We don't -- I didn't interrupt you --

2 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Well, yeah.

3 **MR. BAGLEY:** -- Mr. Cooper and I'd appreciate --

4 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Well, yeah. Okay.

5 **MR. BAGLEY:** -- you do the same --

6 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Okay.

7 **MR. BAGLEY:** -- while you read --

8 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** All right.

9 **MR. BAGLEY:** -- an entire letter.

10 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Jeff.

11 Okay, one at a time.

12 Let him finish and I will come back to you then.

13 Go ahead.

14 **MR. BAGLEY:** Judge, and again, what it comes down to
15 here is, you know, if you look at the photos, for example,
16 that they relied on in the initial detention hearing. Once
17 we get a chance to review those photos, once we get a chance
18 to examine what it is that they're talking about, there's
19 issues that arise and there's things that the Court would
20 want to know about those photos.

21 Again, with this, all I have is a screenshot and a Word
22 document and a name Simon Gogolack above it. For all we
23 know, this is somebody out there that's trying to play games
24 with, you know, Mr. Gogolack that has it out for
25 Mr. Gogolack. We don't know who made this post.

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Well, when you say "for all
2 we know", Mr. Gogolack knows whether that's his Facebook page
3 or not. And I'm not asking him to testify but I mean, he
4 can't -- either it is or it isn't.

5 **MR. BAGLEY:** Well --

6 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** He knows whether it is or
7 isn't. And they go on to then on the same page, docket
8 number 78, Page 3, they cite in quotes -- whether it's true
9 or not, I don't know -- but they cite in quotes excerpts from
10 recorded jail cell calls.

11 Now, we all know that jail cell calls can be recorded
12 and there's no expectation of privacy. So whether these
13 things were said or not, I guess Mr. Gogolack would know
14 whether they were said. And maybe if you want that
15 transcript, that limited transcript of what they're relying
16 on here, that's a different question.

17 So, Mr. Cooper, back to you now. What did you want to
18 say in that regard, anything else?

19 **MR. COOPER:** Yeah, Judge. What I want to say is we -- I
20 would submit that the Court can draw a pretty strong
21 inference that the Facebook post is authentic, whether he
22 posted it himself from jail or gave his Facebook account
23 information to someone to post on his behalf, based on the
24 fact that his voice is on a recording from jail discussing
25 doing the exact same thing. It's not rocket science. It's

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 the defendant saying that he's going to out victims and sell
2 his discovery to the press.

3 So, it's, it's not hard to figure out what's going on.
4 It's him saying it, that that's what he's going to do. And
5 then it's on his Facebook account. It's publicly
6 accessible -- so I apologize for interrupting Mr. Bagley. I
7 was rude and I shouldn't have done it.

8 But what I intended to say was that everybody has access
9 to it. We didn't -- did not intend on re-litigating this
10 detention hearing. When it came up, we learned of it pretty,
11 pretty close in time. It's not necessarily something that
12 we're going to use as proof against him at trial but it
13 became relevant when we're discussing reopening the detention
14 hearing. I don't know that it's Rule 16 discoverable that
15 he's talking about selling his discovery.

16 What I was going to say, Judge, was that if --

17 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Well --

18 **MR. COOPER:** -- we're doing this --

19 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Well, I mean, if it's true,
20 if he said what you quote him as saying, that's certainly, in
21 my mind, relates to danger, for one thing.

22 **MR. COOPER:** Well, Judge, I'm telling you that we didn't
23 misrep -- misrepresent anything from a jail call in quotes to
24 the Court.

25 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** So you have a tape of a jail

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 call, right?

2 **MR. COOPER:** It's not a tape.

3 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Or --

4 **MR. COOPER:** But we have files in the computer that
5 are --

6 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Right.

7 **MR. COOPER:** -- like audio recordings --

8 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Okay.

9 **MR. COOPER:** -- of calls.

10 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Okay.

11 **MR. COOPER:** And in Miss Barber's detention hearing, I
12 reviewed quite a few of them with the Court where the
13 defendant --

14 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Yeah, I know.

15 **MR. COOPER:** -- talks --

16 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** I recall.

17 **MR. COOPER:** -- in detail about his relationship.

18 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Yeah.

19 **MR. COOPER:** It's him, it's obviously him. Talks about
20 how he should have fled, how he wishes he was in Canada.

21 I mean, this is not a close call for detention. Not --
22 of all the cases that I've worked on, this is in a very small
23 subsection of obvious clearcut detention cases. And so with
24 respect to the BlueStar® and Mr. Bagley's concern about the
25 blue star.

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** What's a BlueStar®?

2 **MR. COOPER:** It's, it's the reagent that was used --

3 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Oh.

4 **MR. COOPER:** -- by the FBI to check for the presence of
5 blood in the basement of Mr. Gogolack's house.

6 You could delete that entirely from your brain and he
7 would still be detained every single day of the week. You
8 didn't -- I submit to the Court I don't believe you made your
9 detention decision on that basis.

10 The defendant's history and characteristics cry out for
11 detention. The nature and circumstances of the offenses
12 charged cry out for detention. The defendant in his own
13 words talking about wishing he fled to Canada cry out for
14 detention.

15 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Are, are --

16 **MR. COOPER:** Just forget about the BlueStar® --

17 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Are the --

18 **MR. COOPER:** -- and detain him.

19 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Are the jail cell calls,
20 that's something he will eventually get in discovery anyway,
21 correct?

22 **MR. COOPER:** Correct. And the Court gave us 90 days to
23 produce.

24 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** I understand.

25 **MR. COOPER:** Because how voluminous this is, there's two

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 agents -- who are actually, I believe, present in the
2 courtroom here -- who have been working extensively to try to
3 identify pertinent calls to make it easier for the defense to
4 review when they're turned over, as opposed to dumping the
5 massive amount of calls that exist and so we're trying to do
6 this in an orderly fashion. That's what we represent to the
7 court previously.

8 And I don't believe that moving to reopen a detention
9 hearing can then take that 90 day discovery order that you
10 gave and truncate it down to a week or two weeks. It's not
11 practical. I mean, if -- we're representing to you certain
12 things that were said in jail calls.

13 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** And --

14 **MR. COOPER:** We're proceeding by proffer.

15 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** And you're telling me as an
16 officer of the court that you or someone.

17 **MR. COOPER:** I've listened to them myself, Judge.

18 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Okay. So you heard that and
19 you heard those statements that are quoted, right?

20 **MR. COOPER:** So, Judge, with respect to -- with respect
21 to the calls that I read during Barber's detention
22 proceeding, I listened to all of those calls myself. I took
23 notes on what I, you know, heard to come to court and
24 proceed. With respect to the call regarding selling
25 discovery, I believe it was an agent who brought that call to

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 our attention. I did not listen to that call myself.

2 **MS. CHALBECK:** And I did not listen to that call myself
3 either your Honor but I read that quotation, that exact
4 quotation in an agent's report.

5 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Okay.

6 **MR. BAGLEY:** Judge, if I could just --

7 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Yeah, go ahead.

8 **MR. BAGLEY:** -- jump in.

9 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Yeah.

10 **MR. BAGLEY:** So I'm not asking for the government to
11 produce all of the discovery -- and I think the Court knows
12 that -- in a week when they have 90 days to do that, Judge.
13 What I'm asking is pretty simple. It's please provide the
14 discovery that relates to the detention hearing and they're
15 relying on jail calls. There's -- it sounds like there's two
16 or three of them. Give them to me.

17 I mean, it happens in this courtroom every day of the
18 week. So it's common practice here. The Court's already
19 ordered it. In the hundreds of cases that this Court has
20 heard in the past, there's never been an issue and if there
21 has been, it's been resolved. I'm not aware of the
22 government ever taking the Court's order to the Second
23 Circuit arguing that they don't have to turn over evidence
24 that they refer to in a detention hearing.

25 So, that's all I'm asking. I'm not asking for every

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 piece of evidence. I'm asking for the evidence that they're
2 relying on. And I think there's a good faith basis for it
3 because when they do turn over evidence that they're relying
4 on, there's things that I am reviewing that I want to point
5 out to the Court that may affect its detention decision and
6 if I don't have that stuff, I can't review it and I can't
7 find the problems with it.

8 **MR. COOPER:** Judge, we didn't play the jail call for
9 you. We proffered what was in the call.

10 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Yeah.

11 **MR. COOPER:** The Second Circuit has said we can proceed
12 by proffer. I am not using evidence and then not turning it
13 over. I'm proffering about the evidence. I'm going to
14 proffer -- my intention today, if we're running a full
15 detention hearing, is to proffer what's contained in the
16 indictment. In order to give him the evidence underlying
17 what's contained in the indictment, it would be 90 -- it
18 would be what we're expected to do in 90 days. And I
19 don't -- there's not like this cherrypicking of things.

20 If there's something specific that Mr. Bagley wants,
21 like the three calls that I think -- or five calls that I
22 referenced in the Barber detention proceeding, Mr. Bagley has
23 my email address, he can email me and say: Hey, can you get
24 me these five jail calls, that would be helpful to me.

25 And I'm not going to avoid that. I'm not going to get

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 in the way. I've been -- I read the letters to the Court of
2 what we produced before the case was indicted in an attempt
3 to avoid this. When Mr. Baum came in for the first time, I
4 gave him a disk -- the Court was here -- I gave him a disk
5 with everything on it. I don't know that that would have
6 worked out for him but Mr. Bagley ended up taking over the
7 case. Massive amounts of discoverable material were turned
8 over at that time. So if it's a couple of calls --

9 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** That's right.

10 **MR. COOPER:** -- that he's asking for --

11 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** I, I, I -- refresh my
12 recollection. He -- prior counsel's the one who had
13 technical problems in --

14 **MR. COOPER:** He was --

15 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** -- producing --

16 **MR. COOPER:** Correct, Judge. I think he was having some
17 health issues. He was a little bit older.

18 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Yeah, gotcha.

19 **MR. COOPER:** But Mr. Bagley came on early on. I
20 provided everything that had been provided to Baum to
21 Mr. Bagley, in addition to new material that came out as it
22 came out. If it's three jail calls or five jail calls that
23 Mr. Bagley would like for me to turn over to him, I'll turn
24 those over to him.

25 But what I'm not going to do is try to get into his role

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 and figure out which pieces of my discovery he would like.
2 I'm working on getting the discovery ready to turn over
3 that...

4 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Yeah. All right, let's do
5 this. You know, I detained him at the last hearing. I don't
6 have in front of me, at least, a transcript of that hearing.
7 I don't think it's been transcribed or filed. I think that
8 would be -- you're going to say something, Casey.

9 **MS. CHALBECK:** Your Honor, the government has a
10 transcript. I'm not sure if it's been docketed but we
11 requested one and the government can provide it.

12 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Okay. And Mr. Bagley
13 doesn't have it then, either, right?

14 **MR. BAGLEY:** I do not, Judge.

15 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Yeah. So, go ahead and
16 docket that, all right.

17 **MS. CHALBECK:** Yes, your Honor.

18 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** And that will -- refresh my
19 recollection because I --

20 **MR. COOPER:** I don't know that we're allowed to docket
21 transcripts, Judge. I think --

22 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Well, it --

23 **MR. COOPER:** The --

24 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Okay.

25 **MR. COOPER:** We'll email the court reporter.

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Has the court reporter been
2 paid for it?

3 **MR. COOPER:** Yeah, okay.

4 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** She's been paid?

5 **MS. CHALBECK:** Yes.

6 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Okay.

7 **MS. CHALBECK:** I think.

8 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Then we can -- one way or
9 the other we'll get that docketed. You send it to us and
10 we'll get it docketed, okay.

11 **MR. COOPER:** Great.

12 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** So I don't want to reinvent
13 that wheel.

14 But I will hear a proffer from you today. I want
15 today's transcript docketed as well.

16 I will give Mr. Bagley an opportunity at the conclusion
17 of your proffer to outline what he wants and then I'll decide
18 whether he gets it --

19 **MR. COOPER:** Okay.

20 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** -- or not, okay.

21 **MR. COOPER:** Yeah.

22 **MR. BAGLEY:** Fair enough, Judge.

23 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** And it may be that you'll
24 get that on an expedited schedule beyond the normal discovery
25 deadline. You just said, for example, if there are

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 particular jail phone calls, you can get them to him. So,
2 let's take it one step at a time, okay?

3 **MR. COOPER:** Sure, Judge.

4 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** So, go ahead.

5 **MR. COOPER:** I started. I'll just pick up, I guess,
6 where I left off which is I'm going to ask the Court to
7 incorporate by reference everything that was said at the
8 first detention hearing. That took quite some time. I don't
9 want to say it all over again. You sat here, you heard it
10 and you detained him. I used --

11 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** And I'll tell you I don't
12 have total recall right now --

13 **MR. COOPER:** Well --

14 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** -- other than I did detain
15 him. It was a presumption case and I did, I did say, you
16 know, that he could apply for reconsideration based on
17 changed factors which I've allowed but I'm not going to --
18 just so we all know, I don't have total recall right now of
19 what was said, nor do you.

20 **MR. COOPER:** Yeah.

21 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** But I'll consider that
22 proffer together with whatever you have to say today and then
23 Jeff can --

24 **MR. COOPER:** Yep.

25 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** -- tell me what he thinks he

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 needs --

2 **MR. COOPER:** Yep.

3 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** -- to continue on his end of
4 the detention hearing, okay?

5 **MR. COOPER:** So, last time you found that the defendant
6 didn't overcome the presumption.

7 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Right. Right.

8 **MR. COOPER:** Nothing's changed in that regard, I would
9 submit that to your Honor.

10 In fact, the case for detaining him as grown stronger.
11 The jail calls that I proffered with respect to Miss
12 Barber -- which I don't believe we proffered in that initial
13 Gogolack detention hearing -- are something that I would rely
14 on. And I'll get these over. The ones that --

15 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Okay.

16 **MR. COOPER:** -- I'm relying on right now that I'm going
17 to read, I will pull those recordings and I'll get them
18 provided to Jeff.

19 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Okay.

20 **MR. COOPER:** October 14th, 2023, at 4:50:45 p.m. Simon
21 calls Cortnie Barber from another inmate's pin. About two
22 minutes and 30 seconds into the call -- about two minutes and
23 45 seconds in, I'm sorry -- the defendant tells Cortnie
24 Barber I'm on a different line so don't talk about
25 anything -- oh, I'm on a different line so talk about

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 anything.

2 At about three minutes and ten seconds into the call,
3 Cortnie Barber says the only thing that would help us out is
4 if we both disappeared. This defendant responds: Well,
5 let's do it, dude. Cortnie Barber says: I'm dead serious.
6 And Simon Gogolack responds: I'm serious, too. Let's go,
7 dude, let's get the fuck out of here. I have a better plan
8 for that than you might ever possibly imagine. Barber
9 responds: I'm hoping so. The defendant says: I'm farther
10 along with my plan than you might think. That's why I'm so
11 pissed off that you didn't set the fucking visit up.

12 So that's the October 14th, 4:50 p.m. call talking about
13 risk of flight. Here's the defendant in October saying I'm
14 dead serious about fleeing, "let's do it, dude", "I have a
15 better plan than you could possibly imagine". Those were his
16 words.

17 October 25, 2023 at 12:23 p.m. About 14 minutes and 30
18 seconds into the call, Gogolack and Barber discuss how,
19 quote, "methadone makes you cloudy".

20 About 15 minutes into the call, Barber states: We don't
21 need to be held down by anything. If we got to go, we got to
22 go.

23 This defendant states: Exactly, dude. That's why I'm
24 not in Canada because I was thinking, fuck, what am I going
25 to do if I'm sick as fuck. I'm not going to be able to get

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 anywhere, otherwise, I would have went to Canada already and
2 we wouldn't even be dealing with this shit.

3 Barber states: Yeah, I'm working on my special enhanced
4 ID.

5 And Gogolack states: Yeah, we've got to talk in person,
6 do that. Get it. Get it.

7 Barber states: I know, I fucking need cheddar -- in a
8 reference to money.

9 And Gogolack responds: If you see one that looks like
10 me, fucking get it, too.

11 And Barber states: I know.

12 And then the defendant asks her how much money she
13 needs.

14 Again, Judge, talking about risk of flight, here's the
15 defendant in his own words saying if he hadn't been stuck on
16 methadone, he would have fled to Canada before being
17 arrested. That's consistent with the pretrial services
18 report telling you that he's a risk of flight so
19 significantly that you should remand him.

20 November 1st, 2023, 12:54 p.m. the defendant calls
21 Cortnie Barber from a different inmate's pin again.

22 Every time I say that, this Court should be thinking
23 about why he's calling from a different inmate's pin. It's
24 because he's attempting to avoid law enforcement detection.

25 About four minutes into the call, the defendant

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 discusses the time period between his Depew traffic stop on
2 August 2nd and his arrest on August 23rd.

3 The defendant states: If I had known the feds weren't
4 going to arrest me, that would have been so much funner.

5 Barber responds: No, we should have taken the truck and gone
6 like I told you we should have.

7 And this defendant says: I know, dude.

8 And Barber responds: Out of New York State.

9 And the defendant responds: You know my plan. I think
10 my plan was the best and we just didn't do it.

11 Again, discussing their plans to flee.

12 November 6th, 2023 at 11:23 a.m. Gogolack calls Barber.
13 About four minutes and 30 seconds into the call Barber tells
14 Gogolack that until she sees him she isn't going to eat, she
15 isn't going to sleep and everyone was going to fucking die.
16 That was more Barber related.

17 November 27th, 2023, 12:28 p.m. at the onset of the
18 call, Barber discusses going to the airport, essentially
19 fleeing. Gogolack told Barber to trust someone to get down
20 with the home team and keep doing what we do, get money and
21 live life.

22 At approximately 12 minutes and 15 seconds into the
23 call, Barber continues discussing leaving and told Gogolack
24 if someone wants her to go somewhere, she will if it helps
25 her family.

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 On December 6th, 2023, phone call at 6:47 p.m. in the
2 beginning of the call, Barber again tells Gogolack that she
3 thinks she's being followed.

4 At about 6 minutes and 33 seconds into the call,
5 Gogolack tells Barber that if he needed her to disappear, he
6 would tell her.

7 So the jail calls, Judge, obvious plans to flee. Open.
8 They discuss from a different inmate's pin where they don't
9 think the government can listen, how they had planned to flee
10 before he was arrested. Were the Court to release this
11 defendant and set the strictest possible conditions, an ankle
12 monitor, this man is going to cut it off and flee. The Court
13 should not do that.

14 Let's talk about the second superseding indictment. So
15 at the time you detained the defendant previously, my
16 recollection is that he was charged by criminal complaint
17 with a limited number of offenses. There was a 924(c)
18 offense charged. Perhaps maintaining a drug involved
19 premises in the complaint but the complaint did not contain
20 nearly the amount of --

21 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Well --

22 **MR. COOPER:** -- charges --

23 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Well, let me just -- I'm
24 looking at the docket. Actually he was indicted on -- the
25 complaint was August 17th. The first indictment was on

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 September 13th and I believe that was the day of the
2 detention hearing, as well.

3 **MR. COOPER:** Got it.

4 So indicted in the first indictment. We're now on the
5 second superseding indictment.

6 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Right.

7 **MR. COOPER:** So two iterations later.

8 As the defendant stands today, he's charged in an
9 obstruction conspiracy in Count 1 relating to the death of a
10 witness.

11 He's charged in Count 2 with a witness tampering
12 conspiracy related to the death of a federal witness.

13 He's charged in Count 3 with a witness retaliation
14 conspiracy related to the death of a federal witness.

15 He's charged in Count 4 with distribution of fentanyl
16 resulting in death, the person that he distributed fentanyl
17 to, resulting in her death was that same federal witness,
18 that count, Count 4 carries a mandatory minimum penalty of 20
19 years in prison. The maximum penalty is life.

20 When considering whether the defendant has an incentive
21 to flee, this Court should consider Count 4 in the second
22 superseding indictment. If convicted, the defendant is
23 facing a minimum of 20 years on that one count. Count 6,
24 narcotics conspiracy.

25 Count 7, maintaining a drug involved premises.

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 Count 8 the defendant is indicted for a 924(c) offense
2 under title 18. Based on that offense, the defendant is
3 facing a 5-year mandatory minimum term of imprisonment,
4 required by operation of law, to run consecutive to any other
5 penalty imposed in the case.

6 So just by being convicted of Count 4 and Count 8, the
7 defendant would be facing a mandatory minimum term of
8 incarceration of 25 years. The maximum penalty would be
9 life.

10 Count 9, the defendant's charged with a 922(g).

11 Count 10, a 922(g).

12 Counts 11 and 12 are kidnapping counts for which the
13 maximum penalty allowed by law is life.

14 Counts 13 through 18 are various tampering with witness
15 counts, not related to the death of the federal witness but
16 with relation to the victims in the kidnapping offenses in
17 Counts 11 and 12.

18 That indictment, Judge, a Grand Jury determined that
19 there was probable cause to believe that the defendant
20 committed each of those crimes charged. Those various counts
21 contained in the second superseding indictment carry a
22 presumption that the defendant should be detained because
23 he's a danger to the community. I think that the facts that
24 were proffered by the government in the first detention
25 hearing, including the PowerPoint, underscore the danger that

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 the defendant poses to the community. Photographs from the
2 defendant's phone showing drugs and firearms mixed together
3 sitting on a passenger seat of a vehicle underscore the
4 weight of the evidence related to the 924(c) offense, the
5 narcotics conspiracy. The defendant's text messages
6 establish the strength of Count 4, the distribution of
7 fentanyl resulting in death -- the defendant's own words in
8 his post-arrest statements underscore the significance of the
9 government's proof with respect to the distribution of
10 fentanyl resulting in death.

11 To be clear, the defendant does not make like an
12 outright open admission to distributing fentanyl resulting in
13 that witness's death. What you'll see when you observe the
14 chronology of defendant's statements is numerous different
15 accounts of the days leading up to Crystal Quinn's death, the
16 witness' death. Judge, I don't think that there's much more
17 factually that the Court needs outside of what was contained
18 in the government's proffer in the past.

19 To address for a moment the BlueStar®, the reagent
20 that's used to discover the presence of blood in the
21 basement, the BlueStar® reagent lit up showing the presence
22 of blood. It's my recollection as I sit here, that that's
23 what the government proffered to the Court at the previous
24 detention hearing, that it lit up indicating the presence of
25 blood.

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 When we got additional reports related to that, we
2 turned them over to the defense. None of those reports
3 indicate that the defendant did not kidnap the victims
4 related to the kidnapping counts. Those reports don't
5 conflict with the accounts by the victims. I think what
6 Mr. Bagley brought to the Court's attention -- and he can
7 correct me if I'm wrong, I'm sure he will -- is that the
8 victim's blood was not linked to whatever the reagent lit up
9 as a result of it. That doesn't indicate that it wasn't
10 blood and it doesn't indicate that it wasn't the victim's
11 blood. There's just --

12 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** I, I --

13 **MR. COOPER:** -- not a report yet --

14 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** I think --

15 **MR. COOPER:** -- saying that.

16 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** If I recall what
17 Mr. Bagley's submission said is that it was inconclusive as
18 to whether it was blood, anybody's blood or not.

19 **MR. COOPER:** I think Ms. Chalbeck can speak to that in
20 more detail.

21 **MS. CHALBECK:** Yes, your Honor, that that was, I
22 believe, Mr. Bagley's representation and that is in the
23 report.

24 I noted, however, in the government's response, that the
25 test that the FBI forensic's laboratory uses, it's called a

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 pheno -- I'm going to mispronounce this I'm sorry, a
2 phenolphthalein test and it's highly sensitive to blood. And
3 all of the -- I spoke later with an FBI forensics, like a lab
4 technician or scientist who actually conducts these kind of
5 tests in the lab, and she told me that the FBI doesn't even
6 use confirmatory testing any more for blood outside of this
7 phenolphthalein test because typically to have a confirmation
8 of blood, you need a larger volume of blood than what you can
9 typically access in a crime scene. So you could, for
10 instance, if you had like a pool of blood but if you're
11 swabbing a wall and you just obtain traces of blood, you
12 would not be able to then obtain a confirmatory test because
13 it requires a greater quantity of blood than the initial
14 phenolphthalein test requires.

15 And that phenolphthalein test -- this is on Page 16,
16 footnote 2 of the government's response, that's docket 56 --
17 is highly sensitive to blood. It's not specific to human
18 blood. If Mr. Gogolack were slaughtering chickens in his
19 basement -- there's no evidence that he was, we didn't find
20 any feathers -- but if he were, then the phenolphthalein test
21 would pick up that blood. But it would also pick up human
22 blood. The FBI is not going to state in its report that
23 that's a confirmatory test because it isn't but it's so
24 highly sensitive to blood that they don't even use
25 confirmatory tests as a matter of course now. And that's

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 something that the government explains in its brief.

2 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Okay.

3 **MR. COOPER:** And so where I would leave off on the
4 blood, Judge, is if you eliminate that completely from the
5 government's proffer as to why the defendant should be
6 detained, he should still be detained. It wasn't like this
7 was some close call case and that's what pushed the Court
8 over the edge when you detained him originally. Certainly
9 since then, now that he's indicted for three separate
10 conspiracy offenses related to the death of a federal
11 witness, for a distribution of fentanyl resulting in death,
12 this case is not a close call for detention from the
13 government's perspective, Judge.

14 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Okay. Thank you.

15 Here's my suggestion, Mr. Bagley, you can react to it.
16 I'll hear from you today if you want but I think it would
17 make more sense to get the transcript of this proceeding
18 prepared. It shouldn't take long. Jeff, you can then
19 indicate which items of evidence that you do not yet have you
20 feel you need. I'll decide whether you get them and you can
21 either make a written proffer once I make that decision or we
22 can come back and you can make an oral proffer.

23 I'll just say for everybody's benefit, I did detain him
24 originally. I don't think my decision is based on any
25 particular aspect of the proffer. But to cite one example

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 which was not before me the last time, these jailhouse calls
2 about taking off, if they, in fact, were said -- and I have
3 no reason to doubt counsel right now; but you'll get those
4 Jeff -- that's highly relevant to me, particularly given now
5 the more enhanced charges that Mr. Gogolack faces.

6 So if you want to proffer today, you're welcome to. I
7 just think it might make more sense to proceed in that
8 orderly fashion.

9 But what do you want to do?

10 **MR. BAGLEY:** Yes, Judge.

11 I don't have a problem with that suggestion. I'm happy
12 to submit in writing the things that we'll be requesting and
13 then, I guess, based, maybe based on that -- so, as I
14 understand it, I'll submit something making requests. The
15 Court will rule on that at a date after that. I can either
16 submit something with respect to a proffer for Mr. Gogolack's
17 release --

18 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE McCARTHY:** Yeah.

19 **MR. BAGLEY:** -- or we can come back here and do it
20 again.

21 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE McCARTHY:** Right, we'll decide that.
22 And if you submit something, the government will have an
23 opportunity to respond.

24 But I don't know that it is formally part of today's
25 proffer but it is before me and we've discussed it earlier.

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 I also -- the jail call about in which he allegedly says he's
2 going to sell the names of his victims, I'm going to sell the
3 names in discovery, I think that should be produced to him,
4 as well --

5 **MR. COOPER:** Judge --

6 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE McCARTHY:** -- because --

7 **MR. COOPER:** -- I think what we'll do is we'll put
8 together a folder with a disk that contains the calls I read
9 from today --

10 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE McCARTHY:** Yeah.

11 **MR. COOPER:** -- and then the call that the agent
12 referenced in a report that Ms. Chalbeck included in our
13 brief. We'll give that to Jeff, and we'll give it to the
14 Court as well, and I've referenced some specific times for
15 the calls so when you have the transcript, you won't have to
16 listen -- I mean, a lot of them are 30 minute calls.

17 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE McCARTHY:** Yeah.

18 **MR. COOPER:** And listening to them is quite awful. So
19 maybe you can skip to the points --

20 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE McCARTHY:** Yeah.

21 **MR. COOPER:** -- that are relevant --

22 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE McCARTHY:** You know --

23 **MR. COOPER:** -- and listen for yourself --

24 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE McCARTHY:** Okay.

25 **MR. COOPER:** -- to the defendant's voice.

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Just for everybody's
2 benefit, I'm keeping an open mind but I think -- and I'm not
3 going to prejudge anything other than I have already decided
4 once. So I think everybody should know -- and, Mr. Bagley,
5 you should know -- that in my mind as I sit here today, you
6 face a real uphill battle but I'll consider what you have to
7 say. Okay?

8 **MR. COOPER:** Judge, I just ask if we're going to come
9 back, is there any chance we could come back between the time
10 it takes Mr. Bagley to file something and the government to
11 produce it would it be possible to come back in early April
12 because we're in the middle of a two-month long trial right
13 now and it's quite taxing to --

14 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Yeah, yeah, we'll, we'll do
15 that. I'm not going to give you a date right now because I
16 don't know how quickly things are going to go.

17 **MR. COOPER:** I appreciate that. Thank you.

18 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Is that trial going to be
19 over you think by --

20 **MR. COOPER:** I think by the first or second week in
21 April we should be concluded.

22 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Okay. All right.

23 **MR. COOPER:** Yeah, hopefully.

24 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Yeah, we'll talk about a
25 date down --

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 **MR. COOPER:** Thank you.

2 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** -- the road. In fairness to
3 Mr. Gogolack, he's entitled to a determination.

4 **MR. BAGLEY:** Yeah, Judge, I was going to say, I don't
5 mind, you know, like trying to accommodate. I know the
6 rigors of trial can be, can be substantial, Judge. I think
7 putting it off for a month and a half is a little bit much,
8 Judge, but I'm happy --

9 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** We'll see.

10 **MR. BAGLEY:** -- to --

11 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** We'll see where we go
12 because, as I said, you know, you can -- when you get the
13 information that I say you can have, then you can make your
14 submission or we can come back but we'll work that through.
15 I'm also out of town for about ten days in the middle of
16 March. So, we'll work something out. I'll try to make it as
17 soon as reasonably possible, consistent with everybody's
18 interests and schedules, okay.

19 **MR. COOPER:** Yeah, if it has to be sooner, Judge, we
20 would just ask for a Friday because literally all the
21 attorneys on that case are --

22 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Right.

23 **MR. COOPER:** -- also on this case.

24 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY:** Right.

25 Thank you, all.

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1 Jeff, in the meanwhile, I understand what you say about
2 Mr. Gogolack wanting to be here, I appreciate that. I don't
3 know what these communication problems were but they should
4 be straightened out, you know, it's not on you, but I don't
5 know why he wasn't brought in on the previous occasions.

6 **MR. BAGLEY:** Yes, Judge. You know, we've had some
7 issues with Ohio in the past but I plan to try to address
8 that maybe with the Marshals.

9 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE McCARTHY:** Okay. All right.

10 **MR. BAGLEY:** Thank you.

11 **MAGISTRATE JUDGE McCARTHY:** Thank you, all.

12 Have a good weekend.

13 (**WHEREUPON**, proceedings adjourned.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

U.S. v. Gogolack - 23-CR-99

1

2 *

3 *

4 *

5 **CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER**

6

7 In accordance with 28, U.S.C., 753(b), I
8 certify that this is a true and correct record of proceedings
9 from the official audio recording of the
10 proceedings held in the United States District Court
11 for the Western District of New York before the
12 Honorable Jeremiah J. McCarthy on March 1, 2024.

13

14 S/ Diane S. Martens

15

16 Diane S. Martens
17 Transcriber

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25